IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO. 15439 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: 26.9.2006

Balwinder Pal ..Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others ....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE J.S. KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.D. ANAND

PRESENT: Mr. J.S. Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

J.S. Khehar, J. (oral)

The grievance of the petitioner in the instant writ petition is that the amount payable to the petitioner under the General Provident Fund Scheme has not been released to the petitioner. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, this is on account of the fact, that the name of the petitioner has been wrongly mentioned in the account against which the contributions of the petitioner were deposited.

Besides raising disputed questions of fact, we are satisfied that the petitioner has an efficacious alternative remedy. The petitioner may, if he be so advised, avail of his alternative remedy, in accordance with law.

Disposed of accordingly.

(J.S. Khehar)
Judge

(S.D. Anand)
Judge

September 26, 2006.
vig